

Schools Forum Agenda

Thursday, 16 January 2020

4.30 pm – 6.30pm, Committee Room 2 - Civic Suite
Lewisham Town Hall
London SE6 4RU

For more information contact: Janita Aubun tel: 020 83147030
Email: janita.aubun@lewisham.gov.uk

Item	Pages
1. Apologies and Acceptance of Apologies	
2. Declaration of Interests	
3. Draft Minutes of the Meeting - 12 December 2019	1 - 9
4. Matters Arising	
5. Scheme for Financing Schools - Consultation Feedback	10 - 13
<p>Purpose of this report is to update Schools Forum, with regards to the recent consultation on the Scheme for Financing Schools.</p> <p>Schools Forum is asked to note the feedback from the 4 Schools, and approve the Scheme as consulted.</p> <p>Approve a further revision to clarify the approval process for Governing Body with regards to the submission of Budget Plans/Schools Financial Value Standard and any other such documents.</p>	
6. Dedicated Schools Grant 2020/21 Funding Settlement	14 - 26
<p>Purpose of this report is to update Schools Forum, with regards to the 2020/21 Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG).</p> <p>The report will further propose the individual schools budget (ISB) in line with the mandate approved by forum at its meeting in December 2019.</p> <p>Schools Forum is asked to support the detailed recommendations as outlined in section 1.6 – 1.11 of the report.</p>	
7. Any Other Business	

Term Time Only - question raised by Martin Cunningham

Future meetings

9th July 2020
15th October 2020
10th December 2020
21st January 2021 (subject to change dependent on DfE timelines)

All meetings will be held between 16.30 and 18.30

Sub Group meetings

High Needs Sub Group
TBC

DRAFT

LEWISHAM SCHOOLS FORUM

Minutes of the meeting held on Thursday 12th December 2019

Membership (Quorum = 40% i.e. 9) ✓ = present ✕ = absent a = apologies
s = substitute

		Attendance				
Primary School Headteachers		17/01	11/07	17/10	12/12	Date of Appointment
Paul Moriarty	Good Shepherd	✓	✓	✓	a	Dec 2018
Manda George	Torridon Primary	✓	✓	a	✓	Dec 2018
Sharon Lynch	St William of York	✓	✓	✓	✓	Dec 2018
Keith Barr	Kender	✓	✓	✓	✓	Dec 2018
Matthew Ringham	Our Lady & St Philip Neri	✓	✕	a	✓	Dec 2018
Nursery School Headteacher						
Cathryn Kinsey	Clyde Nursery	✓	✓	✓	✓	Oct 2018
Secondary School Headteachers						
Naill Hand	Prendergast Ladywell			✓	✓	July 2019
David Sheppard	Leathersellers Federation	✓	✓	✓	a	June 2018
Mark Phillips	Deptford Green	✕	✓	✕		Oct 2016
Clare Cassidy	Sedgehill	a	✓	✓	✓	Dec 2017
Special School Headteacher						
Lynne Haines	Greenvale	✓	✓	✓	a	Oct 2018

Pupil Referral Unit Headteacher						
Heather Johnston	Abbey Manor	✓	a	✓	✓	Dec 2017
Primary School Governors						
Rosamund Clarke	Perrymount	✓	a	✓	a	Dec 2018
Secondary & Special School Governors						
Pat Barber	Bonus Pastor		✓	a	a	Dec 2018
Ruth Elliott	Watergate	a	✓	✓	✓	Mar 2019
Academies						
Dr Tesca Bennett	Haberdashers' Knights Academy	✓	✓	✓	✓	Oct 2018
14-19 Consortium Rep						
Asfa Sohail	Lewisham Southwark College		a	✓	a	Mar 2019
Early Years – PVI						
Dawn Nasser	Rose House Montessori	✓	✓	a	✓	Jan 2017
Diocesan Authorities						
Sara Sanbrook-Davies	Southwark Diocesan Board of Education	✓	✓	✓	✓	Dec 2016
Yvonne Epale	Education Commission – Catholic Diocese of Southwark	✓	✓	a	a	Feb 2018

Lynne Haines	Forum Chair	✓	✓	✓	a	Oct 2017
Keith Barr	Forum Vice-Chair	✓	✓	✓	✓	Oct 2017

Also Present	
*Sharon Docherty	Substitute – Catholic Diocese of Southwark
Angela Scattergood	Director of Education Services
Mala Dadlani	Group Finance Manager
Lurenco Reynolds-Moxam	LB Lewisham
Kim Knappett	NEU
Vaughan Williams	Clerk

1. Apologies and Acceptance of Apologies

Apologies received from Lynne Haines, Pat Barber, Asfa Sohail, David Sheppard, Yvonne Epale, Paul Moriarty & Claudia Smith. Apologies accepted.

2. Declaration of Interests

None.

3. Minutes of the Meeting – 17 October 2019

Agreed.

4. Matters Arising

Schools Forum noted that confirmation was required for the appointment to the Secondary Headteacher vacancy and that the Primary School Governor vacancy election would be held in the Spring term.

5. Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) 2020/21 (Report 1)

Prior to giving consideration to this report the Director of Education Services was pleased to notify Forum that Mala Dadlani had been appointed to the substantive post of Group Finance Manager.

At their meeting in October 2019 Forum were advised of the partial Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) settlement for 2020/21 and noted that Lewisham has received some of the lowest increases overall relative to other inner London Councils. Schools Forum were presented with the relevant tables showing Lewisham funding in context with other London councils. The borough is working with London Councils and the DfE to explore the rationale. Meetings have also been held with Members to advice on the perceived inequality. A meeting has been arranged with DfE on the 19th December 2019 with a view to exploring the 3 and 4 Year funding levels for EY.

The Chair indicated that the DSG report would be split into four sub-sections to ensure there is clarity on decisions and the associated funding. Report one was a summary report with sub reports for the Schools Block, High Needs Block (HNB) and Early Years (EY) Block. Forum noted that each Local Authority is required to submit their agreed Local Schools Funding Formula to the Department for Education (DfE) by the 21st January 2020 (primary and secondary). There is a legal requirement for all schools to be advised of their schools budget share by the end of February 2020. The deadlines for producing this information are very challenging as the financial settlement is unlikely to be known until circa 18th December 2019. The report was seeking the necessary mandate to enable officers to provide Schools Forum with final funding information to enable both the above deadlines to be met.

Decision

Schools Forum agreed the following recommendations:

Note the contents of this report and supplementary reports.

All proposed recommendations for approval will be considered as part of the relevant supplementary report.

5. Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) 2020/21 – Schools Block (Report 2)

Schools Forum received a report seeking agreement on the proposed application of the Schools Block as follows:

Alignment to the National Funding Formula - Continue to progress with the Principles of the National Funding Formula in full. This includes using all funding values as determined by the Department for Education. This would ensure that we are in readiness for the hard formula implementation which is due form 2021/22 (proposed). **Schools Forum AGREED (1).**

Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) uplift –the Department for Education requirement is

that all schools will receive an uplift of between 0.5% and 1.84%. Subject to affordability it is proposed that the formula will endeavour to deliver on or as close to the 1.84%. **Schools Forum AGREED (2).**

Disapplication request DfE for continued disapplication for service charge factors being St Michaels rent charges £21,925 and Bonus Pastor playing field management on behalf of the local authority. £162,096.00 **Schools Forum noted (A).**

Disapplication request DfE for two schools expanding from secondary to primary phase. The MFG protects these schools at an average per pupil rate that is inflated by higher secondary funding level, thereby providing excessive protection at the expense of other schools. Amended MFG will protect the schools appropriately. **Schools Forum AGREED (3).**

Disaggregation of Schools - The following schools have disaggregated from September 2019. There is a potential one-off funding pressure in 2019/20 expected to be circa £125k part year effect. This assumes the DfE will uplift Lewisham's final settlement by the equivalent of two extra premises lump sums. If not the pressure will continue into 2020/21 **Schools Forum NOTED (B).**

De-Delegation - The DfE guidance enables Local Authorities to provide services centrally which are funded by a process known as de-delegation. This only applies to mainstream schools and not academies. As such funding levels agreed will reduce should any school convert following finalisation of the budget process. The de-delegation process must be agreed every year and supported (by means of voting) by the representative of each phase at Schools Forums. The proposal for 2019/20 delegation are in line with the current de-delegation levels. It is proposed that de-delegation continues in line with current levels and supports the following: **Administration of Free School Meals (£59K), Trade union and non-sickness supply scheme (Maternity Fund) (£1.1m), School Improvement –to support the work of the Lewisham Learning Partnership. (£300k) (4) Schools Forum AGREED**

Schools Forum were further asked to consider a variation to the following:

Schools Contingency – to support costs that should not be reasonably incurred by a governing body. (£557k). The last few years the majority of this funding has been mainly used to support the Sedgehill transfer as agreed with Schools Forum.

School improvement support from Lewisham Learning focuses primarily on the quality of education, governance and teaching and learning. In these challenging times, the school finance function is crucial to delivering school improvement through strategic financial management. At present as requested by Schools Forum, there has been an intensive drive by the schools finance team to support schools with strategic financial leadership through a range of system-wide and individual school activity. Feedback from all phases has been very positive.

The statutory role provided by the finance service area is funded by the General Fund. With regards to additional support some schools purchase the finance Service Level Agreement

(SLA) and others do not. The current SLA is based on a historic offer which as schools forum accepts is not fit for purpose. The service budget is currently being supplemented by the General Fund to enable all schools to receive additional support and facilitate management of change.

It is critical in the current financial landscape that schools are supported in full. Finance has been working effectively with schools to progress a more hands-on finance support offer, including delivery of the new DfE reforms. Much of the work is currently of a transformation and change nature. Schools Forum is asked to support a temporary suspension of the SLA for finance for a two year period and replace that with de-delegation during this transition and embedding phase. It is proposed that following the two year period a more routine offer would be required which can be developed and offered over the next two years. To enable the current service with two senior accountants would cost approximately £130k per annum. This would mean a move of £4.20 from schools contingency to other (i.e. finance). Please note that schools who currently pay for the service will be covered by this agreement. This would still leave approximately £425k as contingency. **Schools Forum AGREED for a period of 2 years (5), and requested an exit strategy.**

Decision

Schools Forum agreed proposals **(1)**, **(2)** and **(3)** as indicated.

Schools Forum noted information items **(A)** and **(B)** as indicated.

Schools Forum approved Disaggregation **(4)** as indicated.

Schools Forum approved the Disaggregation variation **(5)** as indicated

5. Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) 2020/21 – High Needs Block (Report 3)

Schools Forum were presented with a report that Lewisham had received a potential increase of £4.7m, which is offset by a reduction of £700k in the Schools Central Block which supports high needs. It was further noted that any increase is temporary as the DfE is undertaking a review of the High Needs National Funding Formula which could potentially impact on future funding levels. As such permanent commitments need to be avoided as much as possible.

Schools Forum were asked to consider the application of the additional funding for 2020/21 as follows:

Revenue to Capital spend - Schools Forum has been previously advised of the pressure on High Needs Funding. Schools Forum supported an establishment of a working group to review and implement a mitigation plan. One key area is the review of existing provision to ensure that it meets the needs and challenges of our young people.

The second key area is where possible to facilitate any possible expansions within the current provisions that are cost effective in increasing capacity to meet need in the Borough. The additional £4.7m offers Lewisham some opportunity to consider one off spend such as remodelling, minor expansions etc. **Schools Forum is asked to support a disapplication request to the Department for education to enable £2m worth of funding to be utilised for capital purposes. Any use of funds will be reported to back to Schools Forum (1). Schools Forum AGREED.**

Supporting Schools with higher than average SEN (EHCP) - At the July Schools Forum meeting, Schools Forum was advised of pressure on some schools who as a proportion have disproportionately more pupils with SEN (EHCP). Schools are expected to meet the first £6k of SEN spend. The analysis shows one school as an outlier if a 3% margin of variation from average is assumed. The SEN Service is currently working with schools as part of both the Inclusion Plan and the mitigation plan to consider ways of further promoting inclusive practices across all schools. ***Schools Forum is asked to support the method to financially support schools is they are 3% above average within their peer schools (2).***

SEN support – Schools have also made representation with regards pupils who need SEN support but don't have a formal plan. It is proposed that further analysis is undertaken with schools including a possible consideration of a pilot approach. Haberdashers' Knights Academy volunteered to be considered as a pilot school.

Schools Forum is asked to support a more detailed review of SEN support with a view that a report should be presented to a future meeting of the Schools Forum (3).

Schools facing hardship as a result of the increase in support staff costs.

It is recognised that special schools have faced greater pressure arising from the increase in costs for support staff. Schools forum needs to be mindful that it is unclear if the funding in 2020/21 will continue, coupled with pressures arising from increased number of EHCP/SEN support. ***Schools Forum is asked to support the proposal that the High Needs Review group considers appropriateness and method to support schools. Any support can at this stage only be one off. Wider considerations will also need to be taken into account for example, value of pressure, ability to absorb the cost pressure within current funding etc (4).***

Schools Forum expressed their support of the proactive work being undertaken between schools and the local authority and reflected that points raised above are good example of the forward strategic thinking around SEN.

Decision

Schools Forum agreed the disapplication request **(1)** as indicated

Schools Forum agreed the method to support schools with disproportionately higher EHCP **(2)** as indicated.

Schools Forum noted further engagement with Schools with regards pupils with SEN support including potential pilot reviews **(3)** as indicated.

Schools Forum approved a business case approach to support schools that have been particularly hard hit by the increase in support staff costs for one year **(4)** as indicated.

5. Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) 2020/21 – Early Years Block (Report 4)

Schools Forum were presented with a report to agree allocations for the Early Years Block funding as follows:

Increase in funding 2020/21 - The DfE has now confirmed that the funding rate per pupil will increase by 8p for 2020/21. Based on current numbers this is a total uplift of only circa £300k. Schools Forum were presented with a table showing the proposed distribution of the additional 8p, noting that at previous meetings, it has been noted that both the deprivation and inclusion fund budgets were under pressure. This option will enable funding to be passed on to early years providers and targeted to need. **Schools Forum noted the small level of increase and AGREED the deployment of this funding as advised (1).**

Supplementary Funding for Nursery Schools – Schools Forum noted that the DfE has confirmed that the supplementary funding for nursery schools is expected to continue for 2020/21. Whilst this is positive news, no indication is given as yet beyond 2020/21. At present the funding is allocated to each school on the basis of an uplift of £2.70 per hour. This is an arduous process which provides no benefit to either the nursery schools or the LA. It is proposed that the funding is allocated as a lump sum to the nursery schools. Lewisham will continue working with the two nursery schools with a view to progressing a sustainable funding model. **Schools forum AGREED this approach, including the delegation of authority to the Executive Director for Children and Young People in conjunction with the two nursery schools (2).**

Deprivation Funding - Schools Forum were advised at the October meeting of the need to revise the deprivation funding allocation which schools forum supported. For clarification Schools Forum is asked to approve the following:

Funding continues to be made at the January spring term census proceeding the financial year e.g. January 2020 to fund deprivation for 2020/21 and that funding will continue to target the 20% most deprived using the IDACI scoring of deprivation. The funding will

support all pupils equally within the overall affordability envelope and 2020/21, 2021/22 will be transition years where the current method and new method will be used i.e. 2020/21, 1 part new, 2 parts old, 2021/22 2 parts new, 1 part old, year 3 will see a full transition to the new funding method.

Schools Forum noted and approved the approach to deprivation funding, recognising the need to provide stability to providers affected by the change. Service Manager for Early Years will communicate the revision to early years providers. (3).

Two year old funding - Currently Lewisham receives £6.50 per hour for two year old funding. The funding is allocated on a January and January count date whilst allocations are made termly. Schools Forum to note that a review of the funding verses application needs to be undertaken with formalising of a funding approach. It is intended that any potential funding should be used to support the inclusion fund to extend that to two, three and four year old support.

Schools forum noted this position and AGREED to receive a further update in January with a view to increasing the inclusion fund budget to support 2 year olds with SEN needs (4).

Decision

Schools Forum noted the increase in hourly rate at 8p and approved the revision of the Early Years Funding Formula **(1)** as indicated.

Schools Forum agreed to delegate responsibility to the Director of Education Services in discussion with Nursery Schools, the basis to allocate the supplementary funding for 2020/21 **(2)** as indicated.

Schools Forum approved the deprivation funding methodology incorporating a two year transitional period **(3)** as indicated.

Schools Forum noted the 2 year old funding and agreed to further detailed information that allocates the additional 50p between contingency and inclusion fund **(4)** as indicated.

6. Any Other Business

Schools Forum requested the following:

Copy of the staffing structure, including the temporary posts, for schools finance.

The estimated final costs associated with Sedgehill School academy conversion as they are confirmed.

Agenda Item 5



Schools Forum

**Report title:
Scheme for Financing Schools
–feedback from consultation**

Date: 16 January 2020

Key decision: No.

Item number 5

Outline and recommendations

Purpose of this report is to update Schools Forum, with regards the recent consultation on the Scheme for Financing Schools.

Schools Forum is asked to note the feedback from the 4 Schools and approve the Scheme as consulted

Approve a further revision to clarify the approval process for Governing Body with regards the submission of budget plans/Schools Financial Value Standard and any other such documents.

Timeline of engagement and decision-making

Following discussion with Schools Forum at their October 2019 meeting, Lewisham undertook a full schools consultation. The Consultation concluded in December.

1.1 Summary

1.2 Following the October 2019 Schools Forum meeting to discuss the proposed updates to the Scheme for Financing Schools, four responses have been received.

1.3 Since the publication of the Draft Scheme, a further revision has been noted arising from changes in timing that need to be reflected.

1.4 **Recommendations**

1.5 Schools Forum is asked to consider the feedback from Schools and to further consider the revisions arising from a change in process as outlined in this report.

1.6 **Feedback from Schools**

1.7 Table 1 below shows the feedback received from Schools. Overall 2 schools supported the Draft Scheme in full. Two schools have made observations

1.8 **Redundancy** – The comments from the two schools with regards the redundancy process have been noted. However Lewisham Council does not feel that the wording compromises the DfE requirement. The DfE requirement is to assess each redundancy on a case by case basis, which will continue. We feel it is good financial management that schools should be mindful of the risk that the cost could be borne by them and therefore prudently account for it. Our wording supports this prudent approach
Schools Forum is asked to support the Draft wording as consulted.

1.9 **Requirement on Schools to provide statutory information /data.** Schools may purchase support for any services they wish from a provider of their choice (so long as all procurement processes have been followed). The problem has arisen where schools have opted out of services e.g payroll and fail to make appropriate returns to the Lewisham. Some schools have stated that to do so would result in a cost to the School. External Audit has raised the issue around non compliance as part of the final accounts process. The paragraph reinforces the position that all statutory returns must be provided to Lewisham so that we can fulfill our statutory obligation. It would not be appropriate for Lewisham to meet the costs arising from a decision made by the school. This is not to prevent schools from buying elsewhere, it is simply a matter of ensuring that due consideration is made to ensure all statutory returns are made.

Schools Forum is asked to support the draft wording as consulted.

SCHEME FOR FINANCING SCHOOLS CONSULTATION - FINAL POSITION @ 15.12.19					
Name of School	Person Completing Return	Agree with proposed changes		Section/	Comments
		Yes	No		
Dalmain	Luisa Element - Schools Business Manager		v	11.10	Any costs associated with a redundancy, will in the first instance be chargeable to the appropriate school. Annex B details the process for schools to submit an application for consideration by the LA. This directly contradicts advice we received from HR earlier this year leading to two staff redundancies. We therefore cannot agree with this statement and would propose that it is amended to state that the LA will in the first instance cover costs of redundancy. Also, I could not find details of the process for schools to submit an application in Annex B.
Drumbeat	Sean Richings - Schools Business Director		v	2.1.3	Where a School choses to purchase services outside of the LA such as payroll ,the school will continue to be responsible for providing appropriate information as required by the LA. Note* not happy about unspecified charges, therefore these can be discussed when a school advises the want to opt out.
				11.10	There is a duty of care on a Schools Governing Bodies to ensure effective financial management is in place thereby mitigating /minimizing costs associated with redundancy of individuals. The Local Authority in consideration of supporting any associated costs will consider the actions taken by the governing body to avoid /mitigate such costs. Any costs associated with a redundancy, will in the first instance be chargeable to the appropriate school. Annex B details the process for schools to submit an application for consideration by the LA. [Note* we do not believe this is in the spirit of the DfE directive on this.]
				12.60	Disclosure AND Barring Service
Rathfern	Renata Nogal - SBM	v			
Sedgehill	Clare Cassidy - Headteacher	v			

1.10 Futher changes requested

1.11

1.5 Delegation of powers to the Headteacher

Subject to the requirement that

- *the first formal budget plan of each financial year*
- *the second budget plan*
- *and the School Financial Value Standards Return (SFVS)*
- *any other such financial returns /documents requiring Governing Body sign off*

must be approved by the Governing Body. ~~or a committee of the Governing Body,~~ Each Governing body is asked to consider the extent to which it wishes to delegate its financial powers to the Headteacher, and to record its decision (and any revisions) in the minutes of the Governing Body.

A governing body may delegate responsibility to a sub group to undertake the detailed review of the budget plans/SFVS /other documents. Where this is the case, all recommendations must be ratified by the formal governing body prior to submission to Lewisham Finance. The Full Governing Body must ensure that they have been provided with all appropriate supporting information to enable ratification.

Where the school is in a Deficit Recovery Plan or unable to set a balanced budget it may not delegate any powers to a sub group.

Full accountability must remain with the Full Governing Body at all times.

Is this report easy to understand?

Please give us feedback so we can improve.

Page 12

Go to <https://lewisham.gov.uk/contact-us/send-us-feedback-on-our-reports>

The LA has no wish to impose uniformity on schools but considers that the following level of delegation to Headteachers is desirable:

Governors adopt a scheme of delegation devolving defined responsibilities for day to day financial decisions to the Headteacher.

1.12 **Financial implications**

There are no additional financial implications arising from this report. This is a technical financial report with all financial aspects covered in the main body of the report

1.13 **Legal implications**

Every local authority must maintain a scheme dealing with matters connected with the financing of their schools as required by the Schools Standards and Framework Act 1998 and The School and Early Years Finance (England) Regulations 2018. Any proposed revisions to the Scheme for Financing Schools requires prior consultation with the governing body and head teacher of every maintained school before they are submitted to the schools forum for their approval.

All proposed revisions must be submitted to the Schools Forum for approval by members of the forum representing maintained schools. Where the Schools Forum does not approve the proposals or approves them subject to modifications which are not acceptable to the authority, the authority may apply to the Secretary of State for approval.”

1.14 **Equalities implications**

There are no direct equalities impacts arising. The recommendations in this report apply equally to all stakeholders in line with the Department for Educations requirements.

1.15 **Climate change and environmental implications**

Not applicable

1.16 **Crime and disorder implications**

Not applicable

1.17 **Health and wellbeing implications**

Not applicable

1.18 **Report author and contact**

Mala Dadlani Group Finance Manager mala.dadlani@lewisham.gov.uk 020 8314 3581

Agenda Item 6



Schools Forum

Report title: Dedicated Schools Grant 2020/21 Funding Settlement.

Date: 16 January 2020

Key decision: No.

Item number 6

Outline and recommendations

Purpose of this report is to update Schools Forum, with regards the 2020/21 Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG).

The report will further propose the individual schools budget (ISB) in line with mandate approved by forum at its meeting in December 2019.

Schools Forum is asked to support the detailed recommendations as outlined in sections 1.6 – 1.11 of this report,

Timeline of engagement and decision-making

This report is based on the final funding settlement advised by the Department for Education in December 2019 and the principles agreed with Schools Forum at its December 2019 meeting.

Based on the approval of the proposed recommendations the APT tool (outlining Lewisham Council's Intentions for the Local Schools Funding Formula for Primary and Secondary Schools) will be submitted to the Department for Education for Secretary of State approval.

All other aspects of funding (High Needs/Central Services to Schools Block and Early Years) will be effective from 1st April 2020.

Summary

- 1.1 This report confirms the Dedicated Schools Grant Funding Settlement for 2020/21.
- 1.2 Table 1 shows the gross DSG settlement for 2020/21 relative to settlement for 2019/20.

**Table 1
Comparison of the DSG 2020/21 to 2019/20 Gross Funding Settlement**

2020 to 2021 DSG allocations, before recoupment and deductions for direct funding of high needs places by Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA)					
	Schools Block	Central School Services Block	High Needs Block	Early Years Block	Total DSG Allocation
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
2020/21	212.135	4.645	55.712	24.865	297.356
2019/20	209.649	5.420	51.498	24.526	291.093
Movement	2.486	-0.775	4.213	0.339	6.263

- 1.3 The report further provides explanatory information for each of the DSG funding blocks (Schools, Central Services Schools Block, High Needs Block and Early Years Block).
- 1.4 Overall the DSG for 2020/21 shows an overall increase of £6.263m. The report will discuss the detail for each sub block.
- 1.5 Schools Forum is asked to note/agree the following:

Schools Block

1.6 Note the following:

- A Overall increase in funding net of £2.486m (table 2, para 1.14)
- B Variance (table 3, para 1.15) notes the funding receivable for Primary Age pupils at

Is this report easy to understand?

Please give us feedback so we can improve.

£5.125.27 and for Secondary Age pupils at £6859.10. This is in line with the information presented to forum in December.

- C Overall reduction in Pupil numbers of a net 307
- D Two options for APT submission have been presented (section 1.20, 1.21 and 1.22). Only difference being the treatment of Growth being either as a Stand alone budget or part of the APT.

1.7 Agree the following:

- A Option 2 (section 1.22) which is the preferred option by Lewisham Council.
- B Generates 1.84% MFG uplift (both options do).
- C Total cost is £209,305
- D Balance of £631,714, of which £200k to remain in Growth Fund, £200k to support errors and omissions as the APT is not final until DfE ratification. This leaves a residual £230k. Lewisham is seeking a disapplication from DfE to marginally increase the MFG rate, if this is not known by the Schools Forum date it will be necessary to transfer the funds to the High Needs Block with a view to support schools.
- E De-delegation as detailed in (table 4, para 1.28) and note the requirement for funds to support DfE licence fees.

Central Services to Schools Block

1.8 Note the following:

- A A net reduction of £0.791m to be applied to the High Needs Block Contribution as discussed in paragraph 1.41

High Needs Block

1.9 Note the following:

- A Overall increase of £4.213m (table 6, para 1.36).
- B This is derived at an increase of £4.713m as advised to Schools Forum in December, being offset with an overall reduction in pupil numbers in special school and independent provision of £0.494m . A query has been raised with the DfE and we are awaiting a response.
- C Response to the Disapplication request for the transfers from Revenue to Capital is still awaiting decision from DfE
- D Assuming DfE supports the transfer a total of £1.415m increase will remain to support SEN spend.

Early Years Block

1.10 Note the following:

- A Confirmation of an increase of 8p in each 2 year old and 3 year old funding

Is this report easy to understand?

Please give us feedback so we can improve.

Page 16

Go to <https://lewisham.gov.uk/contact-us/send-us-feedback-on-our-reports>

- B Figures remain provisional until the January 2020 and January 2021 figures are finalised
- C Inclusion fund for 2020/21 is now anticipated to be circa £790k.

1.11 Agree the following:

- A Approve the Early Years 3 & 4 Local Formula as illustrated in (table 7, para 1.42).
- B Approve the distribution of the Early Years 2 year old increase as outlined in (table 8, para 1.44).

Background

1.12 Funding to support schools (and schools associated spend) is largely provided as part of the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG). The DSG is sub-divided into 4 parts, commonly known as “blocks”. Each block operates within rigid guidelines detailed in the associated grant conditions. The determination of each funding block is based on specific national funding formula methodology.

1.13 The four blocks are:

- A Schools Block-** determines the schools main budget share, known as the “individual schools budget”.
- B Central Services to Schools Block-** This is a 2 part determination. The first part is based on historic spend as at 2012/13 local authority spending priorities. The second part is National Funding Formula Rates applied to pupil numbers. This aspects is mainly for statutory and regulatory functions such as admissions and those aspects covered by the Ex Education Services Grant.
- C High Needs Block-** Funding to support most aspects of Special Education Needs Funding. Including top up, place funding, cost of further education SEN provision etc Based on the High Needs National Funding Formula.
- D Early Years Block –** Supports funding for early years provision, i.e under 5. Funding covers provision for 3 and 4 year old early entitlement, 2 year old funding, Inclusion fund and transitional support for the 2 nursery schools.

Schools Block

1.14 Funding Settlement Detail

Overall the Schools Block will be increased by £2.486m Table 2 shows further breakdown.

**Table 2
Schools Block Analysis**

Detail	2019/20 Funding	2020/21 Funding	Variance
	£m	£m	£m
Primary	125.958	126.258	0.300
Secondary	75.879	77.710	1.832
Premises	7.020	7.204	0.184
Growth	0.792	0.962	0.170
Total Gross Funding	209.649	212.135	2.486

Is this report easy to understand?

Please give us feedback so we can improve.

- 1.15 **Primary and Secondary Phase Funding.** With regards Primary and Secondary Schools pupil led funding, the table shows a net increase of £0.300m (Primary) and £1.832m. Table 3 provides further breakdown between movement in funding per pupil and pupil numbers.

Table 3 Variance Analysis for Primary and Secondary Funding Element

Unit funding	2019/20 Unit of Funding	2020/21 Unit of Funding	Variance
	£	£	£
Primary	5041.65	5,125.27	83.62
Secondary	6,722.65	6859.1	136.45
Pupil data	2019/20 Pupil Number Data	2020/21 Pupil Number Data	Variance
Primary	24984	24635	-349
Secondary	11287	11330	43
Net	36271	35964	-307
Overall	2019/20	2020/21	Variance
	Total £m	Total £m	Total £m
Primary	125.958	126.258	0.300
Secondary	75.879	77.710	1.832

- 1.16 The above table confirms increase's in per pupil funding of £83.62 (Primary) and £136.45 (Secondary), which is in line with updates provided to forum in December. However, now that the census October 2019, data is used this confirms a reduction of 349 pupils in primary schools which is offset by an increase in Secondary School numbers of 43, to provide a net reduction of 307 pupils. It should be noted that this reflects a 1.4% reduction across primary schools. Place planning is currently reviewed by the Schools and Admissions place planning forum.

- 1.17 **Premises Funding** – table 2 provides the detail that has determined that premises related funding. It should be noted that funding is based on 2019/20 actual APT. the only exception being an inflationary uplift to the PFI factor related funding of £80,683.

- 1.18 Growth Funding - Funding to support growth has been increased by £190k

- 1.19 Overall the total value of £212.135m will be used to determine the Schools Budget Share.

- 1.20 **APT tool (authority proforma tool)** - All Local Authorities are required to submit their funding formula to the Department for Education by the 22nd January 2020. It is a requirement of the submission that the funding formula is agreed by Schools Forum. Two options are presented for Schools Forum to consider :

1.21 **Option 1**

- A Uplift per pupil of 1.84% requiring an overall £210,930,739
- B Growth Fund Requirement of £600k
- C Unallocated Funding of £604k to be held within the Growth Fund. (at the time of writing this report a request has been submitted to the DfE to allow for a disapplication on the 1.84% i.e to increase this figure).

1.22 **Option 2: Variation to the above model.**

Growth Fund in reality should be used for Bulge Classes or for flexibility to implement unplanned expansions. Lewisham appears to use the Growth Fund for planned expansions as agreed with Schools Forum. Whilst this is a reasonable approach, the DfE preference is for planned expansions to be included in the APT tool, thereby allocating resources to schools based on the standard characteristics associated with their school .eg FSM/EAL etc.

1.23 Option 2 places all pupils on the APT tool, which means that each school would receive funding to support the schools pupil led characteristics. Following the current practice only one school is currently being added to the APT for Growth.

1.24 For 2020/21, it is proposed that the agreed growth will be protected. It is proposed that for 2021/22, a consultation with schools takes place to consider if protection should be offered for planned expansion and upto what level.

1.25 Funding to be held back will cover small provision for bulge expansion/unplanned expansions and the support funding for bulge classess. For 2020/21, it is essential to hold some funding to support errors and ommisions –particularly with regards the two academy schools that have demerged. This is a new treatment in the accounts and the tool has been updated based on DfE’s advice, but still needs ratification.

1.26 It also need to be clarified that additional funding of £4k will only follow bulge classess until the bulge exits. All other expansions will not receive this funding.

1.27 Appendix A provides School by School detail of the two options and compares the position with 2019/20. It should be noted that year on year comprison is influenced by the movement in pupil numbers and rates.(NNDR) Option 2 includes growth on the APT, where option 1 requires growth to be funded on the tool. Table below shows growth on the APT tool v outside of the APT.

School Name	Phase	Status	Option 1	Growth	total	Option 2	Extra Funding
			£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
St Winifred's RC Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,983	£28	£2,011	£2,023	£12
John Ball Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,785	£55	£2,840	£2,862	£22
Sir Francis Drake Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,546	£55	£1,601	£1,634	£33
Ashmead Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,343	£55	£1,398	£1,424	£25
Our Lady and St Philip Neri Roman Catholic Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,503	£28	£1,531	£1,543	£13
Bonus Pastor Catholic College	Secondary	Maintained	£6,167	£46	£6,213	£6,268	£55
Haberdashers' Aske's Hatcham Temple Grove Free School	Primary	Recoupment Academy	£1,909	£110	£2,019	£2,055	£36

Schools Forum is asked to support Option 2.

Is this report easy to understand?

Please give us feedback so we can improve.

Page 19

Go to <https://lewisham.gov.uk/contact-us/send-us-feedback-on-our-reports>

1.28 De-delegation

Table 4

	Pupil numbers		Free School Meals (6)		Total
	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Contingencies	£17.69	£17.99			£557,604
Free school meals eligibility			£6.10	£5.89	£58,664
Staff costs supply cover	£34.90	£35.48			£1,100,000
Additional school improvement services	£9.52	£9.68			£300,000
Sub-total	£62.10	£63.15	£6.10	£5.89	£2,016,268
Licences/ subscriptions	£5.78	£5.78			£181,357
Total	£67.88	£68.93	£6.10	£5.89	£2,197,625

- 1.29 Overall the de-delegation position remains in line with 2019/20. The rates shown reflect the movement in pupil numbers and FSM6 numbers. The total value of de-delegation in 2019/20 was also £2.016m.
- 1.30 Currently the DfE purchase various copyright licences for Schools. This is charged to the LA but to date, the pressure has been borne centrally, instead of being recharged back to schools.
- 1.31 Schools Forum is asked to approve the de-delegation of licences (total cost £181k). This method is preferred over an invoice based system for ease of collection.

Central Schools Services Block (CSSB)

- 1.32 The table below confirms the funding for 2020/21. Relative to 2019/20, this is a net reduction of £0.775m. There are two parts to this calculation, the first is formulaic. This shows an increase in the rate receivable from £40.37 to £41.15, i.e 78p which is equivalent to 1.9% and generates an increase of approximately £16k. The main issue is around the historic commitments budget. In essence this means that there is a reduction of approximately £791k, or net £775k if this is offset with the increase. Funding managed by each Local Authority, will vary depending on the main priorities as set during the baseline year of 2012/13. For Lewisham, the funding supports the High Needs Block, Lewisham Learning Partnership, Pension Contribution Commitments and Corporate Recharge Support.
- 1.33 Recognising the £4.7m increase in funding for the High Needs Block and recognising that approximately 50% of the budget supports the contribution to the High Needs Block, the most sensible approach is to apply this reduction to the High Needs Block Contribution.

1.34

**Table 5
Central Services to Schools Block**

	Central school services block (CSSB)				Total central school services block (£s)
	CSSB unit of funding (£s)	CSSB number of pupils*	Pupil Driven Funding £m	Funding for historic commitments (£m's)	
2020/21	41.15	35964.000	1.480	3.165	4.645
2019/20	40.37	36270.500	1.464	3.956	5.420
Net	0.78	-306.500	0.016	-0.791	-0.775
%	1.9%	-0.8%	1.1%	-20.0%	-14.3%

High Needs Block

1.35 Table 6 shows the provisional High Needs Block Funding. The figure is provisional subject to the revision of something called an “import/export adjustment”, which in essence is an adjustment between Local authorities to take account of the number of places moving in and out of each Local Authority. The adjustment should broadly speaking be cost neutral.

1.36

**Table 6
High Needs Block Provisional Funding**

	National funding formula (NFF) allocations (excluding basic entitlement factor and import/export adjustments)	Basic entitlement factor (area cost adjusted) unit of funding	Number of pupils in special schools and academies*	Total for Pupil Number Related Funding	Import/export adjustment (based on January 2019 school census and February R06 2018 to 19 individualised learner record)	Additional Funding for Special Free Schools	Total high needs block before deductions
	£m	£m	NOR	£m	£m	£m	£m
2020/21	54.678	4,830.86	645.00	3.116	-2.082	0.00	55.712
2019/20	49.965	4,822.53	748.50	3.610	-2.082	0.006	51.498
Movement	4.713	8.328	-103.500	-0.494	0.000	-0.006	4.213

1.37 The above table confirms that the base line increase in funding is £4.7m. In addition, the pupil numbers in our schools attract an additional £4.8k per SEN pupil. This figure suggests a reduction of 103 which reduces the funding receivable by £0.494m. This figure is currently being queried with the DfE. Schools Forum will be updated as necessary, however for the purpose of the funding settlement this will be assumed to be the correct figure.

1.38 At this stage the import/export adjustment is at the 2019/20 level showing £2m. This is yet to be revised but it is anticipated that this figure will be cost neutral.

1.39 The DfE has yet to confirm the one off transfer from revenue to capital. A disapplicaion has been submitted. Should this be approved, the spend allocation for this will be considered as part of the mitigation plan and progressed in discussion with the Schools Forum High Needs Working Group.

Is this report easy to understand?

Please give us feedback so we can improve.

Page 21

Go to <https://lewisham.gov.uk/contact-us/send-us-feedback-on-our-reports>

- 1.40 In summary, this means that there is a net increase in the high needs block of £1.415m (i.e £4.7m increase in HNB formula, offset by reduction in pupil led HNB £0.494m, reduction in CSSB £0.791m and a transfer from revenue to capital of £2m).
- 1.41 The £1.415m is expected to support any increase in pressure on high needs including supporting costs for schools with higher proportion of SEN pupils, supporting pilot working on SEN support and resources to support swifter turnaround of EHCP etc.

Early Years Block

- 1.42 Table 7 below summarises the provisional Early Years Block Funding. Schools Forum will be aware that due to the nature of the funding settlement being based on pupil counts at January (i.e for 2020/21 it will be partially based on January 2020 and January 2021), the funding levels will remain provisional till circa July 2021, when the final confirmation takes place.

Table 7

Early Years Block Funding

	3 and 4 year old					2 year old			Pupil premium	DAF	NMS - supplementary funding	Total Early Years Block
	Hourly Rate	PTE	£m Funding	PTE plus 15 hours	£m funding for plus 15hrs	Hourly Rate	PTE	£m Funding				
2020/21	5.70	4,821	15.662	1,658	5.387	6.580	855.430	3.208	0.077	0.090	0.442	24.865
2019/20	5.62	4,821	15.442	1,658	5.311	6.500	855.433	3.169	0.077	0.085	0.441	24.526
Movement	0.08	0.00	0.220	0.00	0.076	0.080	-0.003	0.039	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.339

- 1.43 The table confirms an overall increase in funding of £0.339m. Schools Forum will note that at the last meeting of the Forum in December, it was confirmed that the hourly rate for 3 and 4 year olds would increase by 8p. The final settlement confirms an increase of 8p for 2 year old funding as well.
- 1.44 In line with the formula distribution agreed with Schools Forum at the two autumn meetings, the 3 and 4 year old funding will provisionally be set as follows:

Table 8

Detail			15 hours PTE	15 hours Extra	Total Budget
	£5.70	%	4,821	1,658	
			£m	£m	£m
Hourly Rate	£5.000	88%	£13.739	£4.725	£18.464
Deprivation	£0.210	4%	£0.577	£0.198	£0.775
Local offer (inclusion fund)	£0.155	3%	£0.426	£0.146	£0.572
Contingency	£0.050	1%	£0.137	£0.047	£0.185
LA Central Funds	£0.285	5%	£0.783	£0.269	£1.052
Total	£5.700	100%	£15.662	£5.387	£21.048

- 1.45 The above table further demonstrates that the passthrough rate requirements will be complied with, in line with DfE regulations.
- 1.46 With regards 2 year old funding, at the December meeting, Schools Forum was advised that Lewisham receives £6.50 per hour. £6 is directed to providers based on the termly count. Again it should be noted that the funding to Lewisham is based on January counts (January 2020 and 2021 for 20/21) yet allocations are made on

Is this report easy to understand?

Please give us feedback so we can improve.

Page 22

Go to <https://lewisham.gov.uk/contact-us/send-us-feedback-on-our-reports>

three terms. Table 9 below shows the 2018/19 position to illustrate this point.

Table 9

	Summer 18	Autumn 18	Spring 19	Total	DFE funding	underspend
Weeks	12	12	14	38		
2018/19	841	814	897		837	
£	£908,280	£879,120	£1,130,220	£2,917,620	£3,102,150	£184,530
Movement in base	3.71	-23.29	59.71	40.14		
Cost	£4,009.50	-£25,150.50	£75,237.75	£54,096.74		

- 1.47 If all things had been equal there should have been an underspend of £238,626. The table shows that 40 more pupils were placed compared with funding i.e termly allocation drift. Costing £54k, therefore reducing the underspend to £185k. The balance was used to support deprivation support for 3 and 4 year old formula. Recognising that the funding has now increased to £6.58 (i.e increase of 8p).
- 1.48 Schools forum is asked to approve that the direct allocation to providers remains at £6.00. The remaining 58p is split as tabled below:

Table 10

	2018/19		2020/21
50p i.e. 7% contingency and inclusion fund	£238,627	100%	£282,805
Underspend- target to inclusion fund	£184,530	77%	£218,693
Termly Allocation Drift	£54,097	23%	£64,112

- 1.49 It should be noted that subject to Schools Forums approval, this means the new provisional allocation for the inclusion fund is now a respectful £790k. Schools forum has been advised of the concerns raised by both the Group Finance Manager and the Director of Education at the lowly level previously at Lewisham of £250k. In summary, the inclusion funds supports our most vulnerable during the early part of their life to manage any needs including early preventative and supportive involvement. It is expected that early intervention and support, could also provide a longer term financial benefit for the Local Authority in terms of pressure on the high needs block.

Financial implications

- 1.50 There are no additional financial implications arising from this report. This is a technical financial report with all financial aspects covered in the main body of the report.

Legal implications

- 1.51 "Regulation 10 of The School and Early Years Finance (England) (No. 2) Regulations 2018 requires local authorities before the beginning of the funding period and after consultation to decide on the formula used to determine school's budget shares. The local authority is required to submit its funding formula to the Department for Education by the 22nd January 2020."

Equalities implications

- 1.52 There are no direct equalities impacts arising. The recommendations in this report apply equally to all stakeholders in line with the Department for Education's requirements.

Climate change and environmental implications

- 1.53 Not applicable

Crime and disorder implications

- 1.54 Not applicable

Health and wellbeing implications

- 1.55 Not applicable

Report author and contact

Mala Dadlani Group Finance Manager mala.dadlani@lewisham.gov.uk 020 8314 3581

Is this report easy to understand?

Please give us feedback so we can improve.

Page 24

Go to <https://lewisham.gov.uk/contact-us/send-us-feedback-on-our-reports>

Overall Comparison of Options 1 and 2

DFE number	School Name	Phase	Status	2019/20 School Budget	Option 1	Option 2	2019/20 v 2020/21		Pupil number variation			
									2019/20	2020/21	reduction	increase
				£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	Growth on APT			
2092000	Adamsrill Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,711	£2,672	£2,672	-£39	-£39	524	503	-21	
2092002	St Winifred's RC Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,851	£1,983	£2,023	£132	£172	388	416		28
2092023	Athelney Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,211	£2,224	£2,224	£13	£13	410	408	-2	
2092029	Baring Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,119	£1,219	£1,219	£100	£100	225	218	-7	
2092068	Beecroft Garden Primary	Primary	Maintained	£2,487	£2,509	£2,509	£22	£22	440	428	-12	
2092127	Cooper's Lane Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,942	£3,030	£3,030	£88	£88	607	613		6
2092148	Dalmain Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,973	£1,978	£1,978	£5	£5	403	387	-16	
2092158	Deptford Park Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,814	£2,682	£2,682	-£132	-£132	522	486	-36	
2092163	Downerry Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,242	£2,148	£2,148	-£94	-£94	440	410	-30	
2092187	Edmund Waller Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,104	£2,112	£2,112	£8	£8	420	415	-5	
2092197	Elfrida Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,343	£2,260	£2,260	-£83	-£83	442	418	-24	
2092225	Forster Park Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,789	£2,630	£2,630	-£159	-£159	511	472	-39	
2092259	Gordonbrock Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,964	£2,994	£2,994	£31	£31	620	615	-5	
2092267	Grinling Gibbons Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,301	£1,198	£1,198	-£103	-£103	232	208	-24	
2092289	Haseltine Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,560	£2,441	£2,441	-£118	-£118	471	443	-28	
2092304	Brindishe Green School	Primary	Maintained	£3,311	£3,361	£3,361	£50	£50	641	640	-1	
2092307	Holbeach Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,517	£2,586	£2,586	£69	£69	477	482		5
2092342	John Stainer Community Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,941	£1,981	£1,981	£40	£40	391	387	-4	
2092347	Kelvin Grove Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£3,026	£3,145	£3,145	£118	£118	590	602	12	
2092349	Kender Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,138	£2,164	£2,164	£26	£26	398	397	-1	
2092374	Launcelot Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,020	£1,968	£1,968	-£52	-£52	383	370	-13	
2092381	Brindishe Manor School	Primary	Maintained	£2,132	£2,158	£2,158	£26	£26	442	438	-4	
2092390	Lucas Vale Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,019	£1,834	£1,834	-£185	-£185	382	342	-40	
2092403	Marvels Lane Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,954	£2,068	£2,068	£114	£114	353	370		17
2092491	Rangefield Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,087	£2,047	£2,047	-£41	-£41	396	380	-16	
2092493	Rathfern Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,244	£2,292	£2,292	£49	£49	434	437	3	
2092529	Rushey Green Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,859	£2,897	£2,897	£38	£38	558	550	-8	
2092536	Sandhurst Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£3,091	£3,141	£3,141	£50	£50	629	628	-1	
2092570	Stillness Junior School	Primary	Maintained	£1,493	£1,436	£1,436	-£58	-£58	309	290	-19	
2092571	Stillness Infant School	Primary	Maintained	£1,294	£1,333	£1,333	£39	£39	261	265	4	
2092606	Torridon Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£3,174	£3,059	£3,059	-£115	-£115	631	614	-17	
2092782	John Ball Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,601	£2,785	£2,862	£184	£261	561	609		48
2092811	Fairlawn Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,083	£2,078	£2,078	-£5	-£5	457	452	-5	
2092815	Eliot Bank Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,183	£1,972	£1,972	-£211	-£211	460	405	-55	
2092818	Sir Francis Drake Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,515	£1,546	£1,634	£30	£118	257	285		28
2092869	Myatt Garden Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,998	£2,000	£2,000	£1	£1	399	394	-5	
2092870	Horniman Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,146	£1,139	£1,139	-£8	-£8	233	229	-4	
2092871	Perrymount Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,101	£1,208	£1,208	£107	£107	189	207		18
2092878	Ashmead Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,490	£1,343	£1,424	-£147	-£67	294	281	-14	
2092887	Brindishe Lee School	Primary	Maintained	£1,318	£1,332	£1,332	£15	£15	267	268		1
2092911	Kilmorie Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£2,948	£3,027	£3,027	£79	£79	616	624		8
2093301	All Saints' Church of England Primary School Blackheath	Primary	Maintained	£1,116	£1,164	£1,164	£48	£48	237	244		7
2093315	St Mary Magdalen's Catholic Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,030	£929	£929	-£101	-£101	192	167	-25	
2093344	Good Shepherd RC School	Primary	Maintained	£1,091	£1,099	£1,099	£8	£8	208	206	-2	
2093360	Holy Trinity Church of England Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£971	£1,025	£1,025	£55	£55	173	181		8
2093374	St Margaret's Lee CofE Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,054	£1,062	£1,062	£8	£8	209	207	-2	
2093416	St Augustine's Catholic Primary School and Nursery	Primary	Maintained	£1,026	£1,024	£1,024	-£2	-£2	199	195	-4	
2093420	St Bartholomews's Church of England Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,829	£1,865	£1,865	£36	£36	382	383		1
2093454	St James's Hatcham Church of England Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,091	£1,079	£1,079	-£12	-£12	197	191	-6	
2093472	St John Baptist Southend Church of England Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,062	£1,061	£1,061	-£1	-£1	209	205	-4	
2093478	St Joseph's Catholic Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,319	£1,212	£1,212	-£107	-£107	251	224	-27	
2093518	St Mary's Lewisham Church of England Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,109	£1,200	£1,200	£91	£91	189	203		14
2093548	St Michael's Church of England Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,076	£1,051	£1,051	-£25	-£25	202	193	-9	
2093588	Our Lady and St Philip Neri Roman Catholic Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,497	£1,503	£1,543	£6	£46	301	304		3
2093594	St Saviour's Catholic Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,055	£1,035	£1,035	-£21	-£21	198	190	-8	
2093597	St Stephen's Church of England Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,166	£1,037	£1,037	-£129	-£129	226	194	-32	
2093650	St William of York Catholic Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,031	£1,043	£1,043	£12	£12	203	202	-1	

DFE number	School Name	Phase	Status	2019/20 School Budget	Option 1	Option 2	2019/20 v 2020/21		Pupil number variation			
				£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	2019/20	2020/21	reduction	increase
									Growth on APT			
2093661	Holy Cross Catholic Primary School	Primary	Maintained	£1,092	£1,100	£1,100	£8	£8	210	208	-2	
2094047	Deptford Green School	Secondary	Maintained	£7,004	£7,366	£7,366	£362	£362	904	929		25
2094204	Sydenham School	Secondary	Maintained	£7,492	£7,586	£7,586	£93	£93	1153	1146	-7	
2094249	Conisborough College	Secondary	Maintained	£6,454	£6,499	£6,499	£45	£45	882	865	-17	
2094267	Sedgehill School	Secondary	Maintained	£6,136	£5,856	£5,856	£-280	£-280	753	674	-79	
2094289	Forest Hill School	Secondary	Maintained	£7,166	£7,126	£7,126	£-39	£-39	1041	995	-46	
2094600	Addey and Stanhope School	Secondary	Maintained	£4,011	£4,245	£4,245	£235	£235	568	591		23
2094646	Prendergast School	Secondary	Maintained	£3,908	£3,972	£3,972	£65	£65	617	618		1
2094802	Bonus Pastor Catholic College	Secondary	Maintained	£5,822	£6,167	£6,268	£345	£446	829	880		51
2094323	Prendergast Ladywell School	All-throug	Maintained	£7,482	£8,210	£8,210	£728	£728	1059	1140		81
2094636	Trinity Church of England School, Lewisham	All-throug	Maintained	£6,294	£6,706	£6,706	£412	£412	935	994		59
2095201	Prendergast Vale School	All-throug	Maintained	£5,262	£5,408	£5,408	£147	£147	788	799		11
2092001	Haberdashers' Aske's Hatcham Temple Grove Free School	Primary	Recoupment Academy	£1,762	£1,909	£2,055	£147	£293	394	457		63
2092004	Turnham Academy	Primary	Recoupment Academy	£1,939	£1,731	£1,731	£-208	£-208	348	302	-46	
2092108	Childeric Primary School	Primary	Recoupment Academy	£2,209	£2,232	£2,232	£23	£23	407	404	-3	
2092599	Tidemill Academy	Primary	Recoupment Academy	£2,318	£2,369	£2,369	£51	£51	426	428		2
2093325	St George's CofE Primary School	Primary	Recoupment Academy	£1,171	£1,251	£1,251	£80	£80	207	219		12
2096907	St Matthew Academy	All-throug	Recoupment Academy	£6,047	£5,983	£5,983	£-64	£-64	960	930	-30	
2092005	Haberdashers' Aske's Hatcham Temple Grove	Primary	Maintained		£1,958	£1,958	£1,958	£1,958	414	416		2
2096905	Haberdashers' Aske's Hatcham College	Secondary	Recoupment Academy	£8,496	£6,921	£6,921	£-1,575	£-1,575	1037	1051		14
2092006	Haberdashers' Aske's Knights Temple Grove	Primary	Maintained		£1,277	£1,277	£1,277	£1,277	281	249	-32	
2096906	Haberdashers' Aske's Knights Academy	Secondary	Recoupment Academy	£7,589	£6,688	£6,688	£-902	£-902	927	953		26
Totals				£208,273	£210,931	£211,503	£2,657	£3,230	36379	36121	-819	560
Growth Fund				375	376							
Totals				£208,648	£211,307	£211,503						
Extra compared with 2019/20					£2,658	£2,855						

notional schools demerging

schools with growth now added to APT - Prendergast is already on APT